

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003400080031-5

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003400080031-5

30 OCT 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Support Operations Staff, DDS

SUBJECT : I. G. Survey of the Office of Logistics

1. Pursuant to your request for comments concerning Recommendations Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5 made by the Inspector General as a result of his survey of the Office of Logistics, the following observations are rendered:

Recommendation No. 2

"That the Director of Logistics negotiate with the Director of Security an agreement that all industrial security officers serving with the Office of Logistics be assigned to the Office of Logistics Security Staff for training and for subsequent reassignment to the independent contracting teams and staff components. "

I concur in part with this recommendation wherein a designated industrial security officer prior to assignment to an independent contracting team should be detailed for training to the Office of Logistics for whatever period appears necessary. The length of training should take into account the need of the independent contracting team for the timely reporting for duty of the industrial security officer.

However, the selection of a specific industrial security officer to a particular contracting team and the date of his reporting for duty should follow current procedures which take into account the needs of the Agency and the career development of the security officer.

Recommendation No. 3

"That the Director of Logistics, in consultation with the Director of Security and, as necessary, with appropriate Deputy Directors, establish the policy that the Chief, Security Staff, Office of Logistics shall be the reviewing official on fitness reports of Office of Security personnel assigned to the independent contracting teams."

I do not concur with this recommendation since it would be in variance with existing instructions, which have proven validity, for the preparation of fitness reports. However, the Chief, Security Staff, Office of Logistics reviews all industrial security survey reports prepared by industrial security officers assigned to independent contracting teams. On occasion, he also supervises and assigns to these officers, in the interest of efficiency and economy, industrial security inspections of primary concern to his staff. Therefore, I would recommend that the fitness reports for industrial security officers assigned to independent contracting teams, after preparation and review by the host Directorate be forwarded through the Chief, Security Staff, Office of Logistics for his comments.

Recommendation No. 4

"That the Director of Logistics explore with the Deputy Director of Science and Technology the feasibility of subordinating to the [] [] (under the general supervision of the Logistics Security Staff) the two industrial security officers [] who now report to the DDS&T Security Management Staff."

Regarding this recommendation, the following comments are made:

One of the two industrial security officers mentioned in the above recommendation is stationed at Project [] a DDS&T external analysis project. He looks to the DDS&T

X1
X1
Security Management Staff for guidance on his day to day operational security responsibilities, i.e., visitor access, transmittal of highly classified documented information, etc. The other security officer is assigned to [redacted] and his work there and at [redacted] (which requires much of his time) does not seem to have any relationship with the Office of Logistics since

[redacted]

25X1

Any future discussions concerning this recommendation should be coordinated with me before any final decisions are made.

Recommendation No. 5

"That the Deputy Director for Support consider transferring responsibility for the Agency Safety Program, along with slots, from the Office of Security to the Office of Logistics."

I do not concur with this recommendation. Even though the Office of Logistics has a number of activities that pose a variety of security hazards (materiel handling equipment, ordinance handling and storage, etc.), the Office of Security is in a better position to conduct effective independent inspections to ensure safety policies and procedures are being effectively carried out. There would, therefore, not be a danger of sacrificing safety for performance. Safety and security matters are closely aligned in the protection of physical facilities, [redacted] This can be illustrated by recent trends in our society (rioting, firebombings, etc.) which closely ally safety with security in the protection of Agency buildings, people, and other assets.

25X1

[redacted]

25X1

Director of Security